

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,421	12/13/2001	Mark Gilmore Mears	PU010099	9546
75	590 01/13/2005		EXAM	INER
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI			DESIR, JEAN WICEL	
THOMSON M	ULTIMEDIA LICENSIN	IG INC.		
2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 5312			2614	
PRINCETON	NI 08543-5312			

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I a di adiam Na				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/020,421	MEARS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	Jean W. Désir	2614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rejoid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fratute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2004, Amendment.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the properties of the proper	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mears et al (US 6,704,061)

The applied reference has a common Inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim 1:

Mears discloses:

a power indicator (see Fig. 3 item 306, POWER ON INDICATOR) illumination;

Application/Control Number: 10/020,421

Art Unit: 2614

and a user interface (see Fig. 3 item 309) including an option for allowing eperative to allow a user to selectively illuminate the power indicator (see Fig. 3 item 306) illumination when the video apparatus is powered on.

Claim 2 is disclosed, see col. 3 lines 23-45.

Claim 3 is disclosed, see Fig. 3 item 306 which is a LED as claimed, see also col. 3 line 48.

Claims 6, 7 are disclosed, see col. 3 lines 44-53, col. 5 lines 18-21.

Claim 8:

Mears discloses:

illuminating the power indicator illumination (see Fig. 3 item 306, POWER ON INDICATOR) of the apparatus when the apparatus is powered on;

and providing a user interface (see Fig. 3 item 309) for allowing a user to selectively turn the power indicator (see Fig. 3 items 301, 304) illumination off, even when the apparatus is powered on.

Claim 9 is disclosed, see Fig. 3 items 311, 306.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2614

4. Claims 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mears et al (US 6,704,061).

Claim 4:

Mears does not explicitly say that the user interface comprises an on-screen menu as claimed in claim 4. However, on-screen menu is a very well known technique used in the art to provide visual information to viewers; it would have been clearly obvious to an artisan to implement the reference accordingly – that is with an on-screen menu to arrive at the claimed invention, this implementation would provide visual information to viewers. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 5 is disclosed, see Fig. 3 item 311.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue on page 4 of the Remarks/Arguments that "Mears does not disclose or suggest a system for indicating the status of a video apparatus". These arguments are not persuasive, because contrary to the Applicants' arguments Mears clearly discloses a system for indicating the status of a video apparatus, because the blinking of the LED 306 indicates to the user that the video apparatus (TV receiver 300) is operating properly (see col. 5 lines 20-22).

Applicants argue on pages 4 and 5 of the Remarks/Arguments that "Nowhere does Mears disclose or suggest that a user can selectively illuminate the power-on

Art Unit: 2614

indicator LED 306 when the TV 300 is powered on." These arguments are not persuasive, because contrary to the Applicants' arguments Mears clearly discloses the claimed limitation "a user interface (see Fig. 3 items 309, 311) including an option for allowing a user to selectively illuminate the power indicator (see Fig. 3 items 305, 306) illumination when the video apparatus is powered on (see col. 4 lines 41-44 for further evidence)" as claimed.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,421

Art Unit: 2614

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (703) 308 9571. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (703) 305 4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD Jan. 7, 05

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600